

## Device for Refilling Liquid Helium Cryostats with Small Loss of Residual Liquid Helium

It is frequently necessary for the experimenter doing low temperature work to refill a partly empty liquid helium Dewar during the course of a series of measurements. It is well known that performing this operation with a warm transfer tube results in the loss of some or all of the liquid helium remaining in the Dewar. Several litres of residual liquid helium are easily evaporated by the flow of boil-off gas while the transfer tube is cooling. Furthermore, after these several litres of liquid have evaporated, the temperature in the specimen chamber may rise to 20° or 30° K before liquid helium again begins to collect in the liquid chamber.

Techniques and devices for avoiding these problems have been described by Scott<sup>1</sup> and, more recently, by White.<sup>2</sup>

Another such device is described here; it is especially useful if the transfer tube must be left in the cryostat between transfers and allowed to warm up.




Figure 1. Liquid helium transfer device

The device is shown in Figure 1. A transfer is begun when the liquid helium level has dropped below hole H. The initial flow of boil-off gas, resulting from cooling of the transfer tube, is vented through tube A (thin wall stainless steel), disc D having been removed. Hole H prevents the residual liquid from being siphoned into tube A. When the transfer tube has cooled, liquid helium collects in tube A and subsequently overflows stand-pipe B (also thin wall stainless steel) into the liquid helium chamber of the Dewar. The O-ring fitting permits easy removal of the transfer tube from the cryostat.

Transfers have been accomplished in this manner into a 3 l. Dewar with a loss of less than 0.2 l. of the 0.6 l. of residual liquid helium. The accompanying temperature change (observed with a germanium thermometer immersed in the residual liquid) was 0.02° K at worst and not observable at best.

Although the tube system (tubes A and B) is shown in Figure 1 as a part of the experimental cryostat, there appears to be no reason why it could not be made a permanent part of the transfer tube. The dimensions of the device must be adjusted to the requirements of the individual cryostat, and hence no dimensions are indicated in Figure 1. The author's experience indicates that  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{3}{8}$  in. are probably the lower limits of outside diameter for tubes A and B, respectively.

The author wishes to acknowledge numerous helpful discussions with Messrs. R. J. Smith and F. R. Stevenson.

Lewis Research Center,  
N.A.S.A.,  
Cleveland,  
Ohio, U.S.A.

D. E. HEGLAND  
(11 March 1964)

### REFERENCES

1. SCOTT, R. B. *Cryogenic Engineering* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1959)
2. WHITE, G. K. *Experimental Techniques in Low Temperature Physics* (Oxford University Press, London, 1959)

N64-28300

Code None  
Cat-17

6835